

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/812,765	03/29/2004	Norihiro Arai	04199/LH	4800	
	7590 04/03/2007 OLTZ, GOODMAN & CI	EXAMINER			
220 Fifth Avenue 16TH Floor NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			CHEN, WEN YING PATTY.		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2871		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•	•	04/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/812,765	ARAI ET AL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
W. Patty Chen	2871		

	W. Patty Chen	28/1	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR 7	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount	136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr	te extension fee iate extension fee
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	than three months after the mailing da		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)	The state of the s		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			•
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,7,8,10,11 and 13-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2,4-6,12</u> .	,		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
10. [] Oulei			
		•	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amended claims 1 and 17 contain new limitations which require further search and consideration.

A LCILLE ANDREW SCHECHTER DRIMARY EXAMINER